

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,255	06/23/2003	George Richard Chapman JR,	DW0054 USDIV	1415
24199 7	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
DUPONT DOW ELASTOMERS, LLC			NUTTER, NATHAN M	
PATENT RECORDS CENTER 4417 LANCASTER PIKE BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25 WILMINGTON, DE 19805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Charles Control of the Control of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,255	CHAPMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711				
Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	orrespondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	—					
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,255

Art Unit: 1711

K (May)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2-8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fleischer et al, newly cited.

The reference to Fleischer et al teaches the manufacture of a thermoplastic composition that may comprise A) a non-fluorinated melt processable polymer, being chlorinated polyethylene as recited in claim 9 and B) 100 to 20 000 parts per million by weight, based on total weight of the extrudable composition, of fluoropolymer, within the range claimed of 25 to 2000 parts per million, said fluoropolymer having a weight average particle size greater than 2 microns and less than 10 microns, as claimed in claim 1. Note column 2 (lines 21-31 and lines 51-68) for the compositional limitations and particle sizes contemplated for the fluoropolymer particles. Note column 3, first paragraph for the fluoropolymers employed.

Art Unit: 1711

& holder

The patents to Hedberg et al and Rosinski et al, cited of interest, both teach similar compositions of a fluoropolymer with a thermoplastic polymer, as herein contemplated. Neither reference teaches nor suggests the employment of a particulate fluoropolymer as claimed herein. Note in Hedberg et al at column 1 (lines 21-43) and the Examples. Note in Rosinski et al at column 2 (lines 31-59) and claim 1 at column 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn 27 September 2003